## HEALTH & ADULT SERVICES SELECT COMMITTEE 19 NOVEMBER 2014

## **Budget savings discussion record sheet for reporting to Cabinet**

Proposal	Support	Support with recommendations for adjustments (see comments)	Do not support (see comments for reasons)	Comments
ACS SAV01 – Adult Social Care Workforce	$\boxtimes$			Budget saving supported
ACS SAV06a – Personalising Learning Disability Services	$\boxtimes$			Budget saving supported
ACS SAV10 – Double-handed calls for personal care in the home	$\boxtimes$			Budget saving supported

ACS SAV09 – Independent Living Fund			The Committee felt unable to support the proposal seeing the potential negative impact it would have on current ILF recipients' independence and wellbeing given their high level of need(s).
ACS SAV 11 – Passenger transport			The Committee felt unable to support this proposal planned to be implemented in 2016/17 due to the lack of detail around how the proposed saving of £400K would be achieved. However, members agreed with the Cabinet Member's proposal to undertake a review of the Service to establish whether efficiencies could be made by, for example, turning the Service into a cross borough one.
CEX SAV50 – Taxicard Scheme	$\boxtimes$		Budget saving supported
ACS SAV 12f – Commissioning Supported Living provision: The Foyer	$\boxtimes$		Budget saving supported
ACS SAV 12g – Commissioning Supported Living provision: The Vineries			The Committee felt unable to support this proposal, noting that this Service was for young people who were likely to be from very difficult backgrounds. They expressed concern that should the supported element of the accommodation be removed, it would have negative effects on young people's wellbeing with the risk that support would need to be provided from other Council services leading more expenditure, which would defeat the objective of the proposal.

ACS SAV 12i – Commissioning Supported Living provision: Bevan House	$\boxtimes$		Budget saving supported
ACS SAV 12a – Generalist Advice and Hate Crime reporting contract		$\boxtimes$	The Committee felt unable to support this proposal on the basis that the advice services covered by it were all the more necessary at a time of substantial welfare reform. Furthermore, in light of statistics showing that a high level of the Borough's residents were in debt, unemployed or in receipt of housing benefit, Members felt that this proposal would impact upon the Borough's residents particularly badly. They also noted that should another savings proposal (falling under the Public Accounts and Audit Select Committee) to reduce the number of benefits officers providing 'face to face' advice be implemented, this would exacerbate the situation.
ACS SAV39 – Introduction of a charge for the Active Age Programme	$\boxtimes$		Budget saving supported
ACS SAV 15a – ACS administrative and commissioning posts			Budget saving supported
ACS SAV08 – Mental Health services	$\boxtimes$		Budget saving supported